United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

January 08, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MCALLEN DIVISION

KYLE TYSON CHANEY,	§
	§
Plaintiff,	§
	§
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:22-CV-415
	§
J.E. EDDIE GUERRA, et al.,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Plaintiff Kyle Tyson Chaney's "Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees" which had been referred to the Magistrate Court for a report and recommendation. On December 18, 2023, the Magistrate Court issued the Report and Recommendation, recommendation that the application be **DENIED**, but that Plaintiff be given thirty (30) days to pay the required \$402 filing fee. The time for filing objections has passed and no objections have been filed.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b), the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation for clear error.² Finding no clear error, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation in its entirety. Accordingly, Petitioner's application to proceed without prepayment of fees is **DENIED**. Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED** to pay the \$402 filing fee within thirty (30) days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DONE at McAllen, Texas, this 8th day of January 2024.

Senior United States District Judge

¹ Dkt. Nos. 7 & 16.

² As noted by the Fifth Circuit, "[t]he advisory committee's note to Rule 72(b) states that, '[w]hen no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Douglas v. United States Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note (1983)), superseded by statute on other grounds by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), as stated in ACS Recovery Servs., Inc. v. Griffin, No. 11-40446, 2012 WL 1071216, at *7 n.5 (5th Cir. April 2, 2012).